Open Space Technology: Inviting Leadership Practice

What is Open Space Technology? How does it work? How is it evolving and where does it seem to be leading us? Shilpa Jain brings these and other questions to Michael Herman, who has been learning and contributing, facilitating and teaching Open Space, as his primary professional practice for more than 10 years. We open with a review of the basics and finish with some brand new thinking about how Open Space and other meeting methodologies ultimately dissolve and evolve into inviting leadership practice.

What is Open Space Technology?

Open Space Technology is one way to enable all kinds of people, in any kind of organization, to create inspired meetings and events. Over the last 20 years, it has also become clear that opening space, as an intentional leadership practice, can create inspired organizations and communities, where ordinary people work together to create extraordinary results with regularity.

In Open Space meetings, events and organizations, participants create and manage their own agenda of parallel working sessions around a central theme of strategic importance, such as: What is the Future of Our ____ (Project, Department, Organization, Community): Issues and Opportunities for ____ (Healing Conflict, Planning Strategy, Moving Forward, Making Changes).

With groups of 5 to 1000 -- working in one-day workshops, three-day conferences, or the regular weekly staff meeting -- the common result is a powerful, effective connecting and strengthening of what's already happening in the organization: planning and action, learning and doing, passion and responsibility, participation and performance.

When and Why Use Open Space?

Open Space works best when the work to be done is complex, the people and ideas involved are diverse, the passion for resolution (and potential for conflict) are high, and the time to get it done was yesterday. It's been called passion bounded by responsibility,
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the energy of a good coffee break, intentional self-organization, spirit at work, chaos and creativity, evolution in organization, inviting leadership, and a simple, powerful way to get people and organizations moving -- when and where it's needed most.

And, while Open Space is known for its apparent lack of structure and welcoming of surprises, it turns out that the Open Space meeting or organization is actually very structured -- but that structure is so perfectly fitted to the people in the room and the work at hand, that it goes unnoticed in its proper role of supporting (not blocking) best work. In fact, the stories, plans and outcomes created in Open Space are generally more complex, more robust, more durable -- and can move a great deal faster than expert- or management-driven designs.

**How did you get involved with Open Space?**

After business school and financial consulting work, I began working and training as an experiential educator, leading youth and adult courses with Outward Bound. One of my mentors, a brilliant educator and learning guide, referred me to the Harrison Owen’s "User’s Guide" for Open Space Technology. It resonated deeply. I remember that reading it felt like it changed how I sat in my chair. My whole body settled a bit, relaxed, recognizing that this was how things worked when they really worked. Easily, effortlessly, and powerfully effective.

I followed a rapid string of lucky, synchronistic meetings and referrals to a conference in Philadelphia that was being conducted in Open Space. By then, I'd been through years of facilitator training and was experienced with many models for organizing and leading. At that time, I was struggling a bit with the some paradoxes or conflicts implied by some other well-known large-group methods for facilitating change, so I convened a breakout session called "Imposing Democratic Self-Organization." Recognizing the impossibility of this statement, I added "...or How Do We Teach Responsibility?"

As it turns out, unbeknownst to me, Harrison Owen was in the room. I posted my topic for the first session and he showed up with a handful of others. I told a rather long story of my struggle with this question of how to make self-organization and responsibility happen. Harrison's response was immediate: "I don't (make anything happen)," he said. "I just look around for what's working and then ask people how we might grow more of that." I recognized the power and wisdom of this immediately.

Later, in that same conversation, Harrison also said that he hoped that, in a few years, nobody would be talking at all about "Open Space" because it would come to be simply the way we do business. It wouldn't be a method or technique, but a regular, everyday practice of asking our most important community or strategic questions and inviting anyone who had real passion and a willingness to take some responsibility to gather, work and address together the issues involved.
**So how does an Open Space meeting work?**

Imagine that you're sitting in a circle of friends, colleagues and perhaps some strangers, too. It might be just a dozen of you for a one-day working conversation, but perhaps it's 120 or even as many as 1200 gathered for a 2 1/2-day strategic conference. However many are here and wherever we might be, our chairs are certainly set in one large circle. Even if the circle has several concentric circles, the room is set, from the start, so that everyone can see and hear everyone else.

The middle of the circle, even if it's very large, is empty and open. There are no tables or podiums, but you can see that there are quarter sheets of flipchart paper and markers on the floor in the center. Then you notice that someone has apparently already been busy with these markers, as there are handwritten posters hung on every wall...although there is a large (and a little suspicious) blank area that fills most of one wall under a banner that says, simply, "MARKETPLACE."

Everyone in the circle is here because they've been invited and then have chosen for themselves to be here, because the theme or issue or question at hand is something they have real passion about -- and because they see this gathering as a unique opportunity for connecting with others who are eager to learn about, plan for, and take action in an area that they see as critical to the success of their own interests, the work of their organization(s), and/or their relationships with colleagues, customers or suppliers.

**Tell us more about the invitation.**

Even as it raises urgent, complex, or conflicted issues, the invitation to an Open Space meeting or event is usually very simple, perhaps just a page or so, maybe a short email, a postcard, or even something posted on a bulletin board. It should speak as directly and truthfully as possible, piercing to the heart of what's working, what's possible, and what's needed now in some area of real importance.

It's not an invitation for the grumblers in any crowd to complain about or even "solve" problems. Indeed, the best invitations are not about "problems" at all, but rather about co-creating something that really want to be a part of. This doesn't mean that it denies or in any way minimizes the importance of existing problems, only that it seeks to focus attention on current strengths and assets -- and invites people who really care to work together to create more of them, to address real needs and desires. In this way, the invitation embraces and appreciates the heart of whatever the issues might be, and the hearts of the people who care about those issues.

We should note here that Open Space, and Inviting Leadership can only fail for two reasons: if people show up with no passion (but why would they come if they didn't care?) -- or if somebody tries to control the process in order to achieve some sort of predetermined "solutions." The commitment to openness, passion and responsible self-organization begins with the invitation process itself and the heart of leadership. It matters that the people "doing" the inviting are also attempting to "be" inviting, as well.
So coming back to our imaginary event, the theme or purpose on which we've been invited to work is open, broad, and demands a creative, collective response. The invitation was extended to a diverse group of stakeholders and clearly stated the circumstances and parameters for our work here. And, to the greatest extent possible, everyone here is participating voluntarily, because they know that they have something to learn or contribute to the work we need to do. At this point, most people have some palpable sense of inclusion, excitement, challenge, and power, even though nobody really knows what is going to happen next.

**So that’s the set-up. What happens next?**

It's common practice to "Open the Space" by ringing a small set of tibetan templebells whose unique chiming gently and effectively pierces every conversation, even if the group is several hundred strong. This immediately invites and focuses everyone's attention on the person with the bells, who is standing with another person who nearly everyone in the room knows, or knows of.

The familiar person is the leader of the sponsoring or planning group. She introduces herself, welcomes everyone into the meeting and into the circle, perhaps adding a very brief word about how and why we've all come together today. Then she introduces the bell ringer as the meeting facilitator and takes a chair in the circle with everyone else.

The facilitator begins with an invitation to do what we've already been doing, looking around the room, seeing who's here, signaling good morning to the people you know and taking notice of any faces new to you. The theme is restated and briefly explained, perhaps a short story of how we got here, with the reminder that everyone you now see in the circle is here because they care about some aspect of this theme -- and have chosen to be here, to learn from and contribute to the work at hand.

The facilitator also explains that the big, empty, MARKETPLACE wall is, in fact, our agenda. He acknowledges that it is a giant empty space, but reassures us that it will, within the hour, be filled with discussion topics related to the theme. He makes it very clear that all of these breakout session topics will be proposed by us, the people now sitting in the circle.

**Isn’t there more structure to Open Space?**

There are Four Principles and one Law which appear on posters around the room. These are very briefly explained by the facilitator in the spirit of orienting hints rather than rules of conduct.

**The Four Principles:**

"Whoever comes is the right people" acknowledges that the only people really qualified or able to do truly great work on any issue are those who really care, and choose freely for themselves, to be involved. We work with who's here.
"Whenever it starts is the right time" recognizes that spirit and creativity don't run on the clock, so while we're here, we'll all keep vigilant watch for great ideas, new insights, and moments of readiness, because they can happen at anytime. We will work what's ready.

"Whatever happens is the only thing that could have" allows everyone to let go of the could haves, would haves and should haves, so that we can give our full attention to the reality of what is happening, is working, and is possible right now. We will work on what we can change.

"When it's over, it's over" acknowledges that you never know just how long it'll take to deal with a given issue, and reminds us that getting the work done is more important than sticking to an arbitrary schedule. We will do the work, not the time.

Taken together, these principles say "work hard, pay attention, but be prepared to be surprised!"

**The Law of Two Feet**

It might sound like a rule to be followed, but it's actually more like the Law of Gravity, describing a basic reality. It says simply that you, and only you, know when you are learning or contributing as much as you can to the work that must be done. It reminds you to use your two feet, or whatever else you use to get around with, to go where you need to go and do what you need to do. Sometimes it's also called the Law of Mobility.

If at any time today, you find that you are not learning or contributing, you have the right and the responsibility to move... find another breakout session, visit the food table, take a walk in the sunshine, make a phone call -- but DO NOT waste time. If your mind wanders, take your body with it -- keep working, keep moving, but stay whole!

These few bits simple bit of "structure" makes everyone fully responsible for the quality of their own experience. It creates bumblebees who buzz from session to session, cross-pollinating and connecting pieces of the work. It creates butterflies who may not join any formal sessions, choosing instead to float at the edges. They create the space for everyone to appreciate the energies and synergies unfolding in the work of the conference. Sometimes the most amazing solutions seem to come out of nowhere – so that's where butterflies tend to look for them.

After a quick logistical review, the facilitator invites anyone who's ready to come to the center of the circle, get a marker and a sheet of paper, and write down their burning question, passionate issue, or great idea. To the surprise of many, a number of people spring from their chairs and are quickly on all fours in the center of the circle, scribbling
their offerings. In one event I did, participants actually ran for the markers and paper. I kept a copy of the conference video just to prove it!

As each person finishes, they read their issue(s) out loud. These aren't speeches; just simple announcements. "My name is _____, my issue is ______," and we're on to the next one, while they tape their sheet to the wall and assign it a place and a time (from a pre-arranged set of space/time choices). This is how even very large groups can create two or three days of agenda in just one hour. As the wall fills, those who were at first surprised, find words for their issue and grab a marker. And then, as fast as it started, it's done. "Buy in" has been accomplished, the agenda is full of real issues, each one has someone to lead it.

**Once the agenda is created, how do you proceed?**

In this simple way, in something more or less than one hour, we have opened the heart of the organization, invited everyone to focus on what is most important, and established just enough structure to support the flow of people and information. Having done the impossible in the first hour, the energy level is pretty high now.

The people are ready to get to work on the details, to make good on this opportunity. The facilitator gives a few more instructions and the whole group moves to the wall and signs up for the sessions they want to attend. Minutes later, the first sessions start without any announcement or instructions, because everybody knows where they need to be.

The large opening circle dissolves and evolves into so many smaller working circles, in the corners of the room or in separate breakout spaces, each working on some important part of the main theme. Every session has been proposed by someone who really cares about that item and has taken responsibility for making sure it gets addressed. In longer meetings, the convener is also responsible for recording the main points and conclusions reached in his or her session.

As the first sessions finish, at roughly the scheduled time, the second sessions begin. If the first issues are not fully resolved in one session, people will often keep working, or schedule a sequel session. Some people have spent the entire 1 1/2-hour session on one topic; others have bumblebee'd or butterflied around, connecting different issues.

Everything is moving -- people, ideas, resources, beliefs, relationships -- but it all revolves and relates to the purpose stated in the invitation. The process ebbs and flows, and the work continues, session after session. In multi-day meetings, everyone also assembles in the morning and evening for short "news" sessions, where things like new sessions, major breakthroughs, and dinner plans can be announced easily.

In some events, especially longer events, the proceedings are captured by computer. The person who convenes a session also takes responsibility for capturing the notes and typing them into the computer. The rule-of-thumb is that one day in Open Space will get you a lot of great discussion, two days will give you time to capture what happens in a typed proceedings document, and a third day (usually a half-day) will allow a more
formal convergence to specific plans for immediate action. Sometimes smaller groups can work faster, but the overnight "soak time" does make a difference, letting the dust settle and clarity shine through.

**What do we get at the end of an Open Space day?**

Despite the uncertainty about what issues will ultimately be posted, what the agenda and action plans will ultimately look like, there are some things that we know for sure when we begin our journey in Open Space with a first invitation and meeting:

1. All of the issues that are MOST important to the participants will be raised.

2. All of the issues raised will be addressed by those participants most qualified and capable of getting something done on each of them.

3. In a time as short as one or two days, all of the most important ideas, discussion, data, recommendations, conclusions, questions for further study, and plans for immediate action will be documented in one comprehensive report -- finished, printed and in the hands of participants when they leave.

4. When appropriate and time is allowed for it, the total contents of this report document can be focused and prioritized in a matter of a few hours, even with very large groups (100's).

5. After an event, all of these results can be made available to an entire organization or community within days of the event, so the conversation can invite every stakeholder into implementation -- right now.

6. AND... results like these can be planned, implemented and sustained faster and more easily than any other kind of so-called "large-group intervention." It is literally possible to accomplish in days and weeks what some other approaches take months and years to do.

It really is as simple, and challenging as that. One or several leaders put out a direct and open invitation. The people show up, and after only the briefest of introductions, they identify all kinds of issues and opportunities, invitations to conversations with neighbors and colleagues, that they themselves take direct, personal responsibility for addressing.

When the participants capture their notes from their conversations, they are published and shared -- as invitations to action. Then, some of those actions almost always include putting out more invitations to new meetings and events. In this way, an Open Space meeting can start a powerful and productive cycle, an ongoing process, that is inviting -- in its essential spirit and its literal practice. And as we'll see, as facilitators and leaders, inviting is also something we can both do and become.
How has Open Space been evolving, in practice?

I have been labeled over the years as both an Open Space "purist" and an active designer of "hybrid" spaces. I have worked to identify for myself and share with others the "essence" of the method that is Open Space Technology. At the same time, I have managed to use these insights to "Open Space" in ways that true purists have sometimes questioned.

The "standard" form of Opening Space is a 2.5-day process, but I've done half-days and one-days. I've done series of sessions, three consecutive evenings in a community dealing with a failing school system, for instance, or four 1.5-hour sessions sprinkled throughout a Fortune 150 company's four-day global strategic leadership and planning summit. I've created online conferences and "marketplaces" for ideas and conversations that embody the whole of the spirit, if not every letter of the method that is OST.

In the early days, Harrison Owen's "User's Guide" suggested that OST meetings could be finished with computerized voting. He used some relatively simple software to collect, tabulate and graph 100's of participant priorities as "votes" in about an hour on the last day. Then action plans would be created for the top-voted issues. This process was dubbed "convergence" and it was designed to take all of the energy and "diverging" creativity unleashed in the first two days of Open Space and "converge" it back into a short list that "managers" could deal with.

Since then, the world has changed, and I think our collective ability, and also our technology, to handle ongoing chaos and turbulent progress has increased. Especially in community groups and organizations, there isn't necessarily any need or benefit to "converging" all that energy into a few issues or controlled management. On the contrary, if there is passion and responsibility in any area, it's best that folks DO something with that – even if it means that the group ends up with more than a manageable "short list" of projects going forward. It's all good to have the learning and contributing continue!

Recognizing this, some of us have developed and practiced what we've called "non-convergence" where we simply Open the Space again at the end of an OST conference or meeting. We open for the next round of questions, open for a more focused set of issues, open for a more practical set of "actions" that could be initiated and followed up on after the big meeting. We simply leave time (space) at the end of the program to Open a new Space, to make a whole new agenda, but this time it's focused on actions and initiatives. In this way, we've been moving OST beyond the realm of meeting method, in the direction of ongoing leadership and business practice.

We've supported this ongoing opening and development with online tools that can help keep the Space Open, essential tools that create digital bulletin boards, posting Spaces for ongoing actions, new meetings on old issues, new meetings on new issues, and the news and results that come from those new sessions. Tools like wiki websites, conference weblogs, project weblogs, and email listserves are available for little or no cost to community groups and do much to keep the spirit and activity of an Open Space meeting
alive after the "meeting" is over. There is even an online Open Space website where
groups can hold web-based follow-up meetings in the digital equivalent of an Open
Space conference.

Overall, I think this shifting from event or meeting methodology to ongoing
organizational practice is the most important evolution over these last twenty years.
We're learning that we can be this effective every day.

**How does Open Space relate to other ways/tools for dialogue?**

The nice thing about working with and practicing the essence of Open Space is that it
plays so well with other methods. I've done training/conference combinations with
Appreciative Inquiry, where we used and taught both methods simultaneously. I've had
discussions with colleagues about combining bits of Open Space with World Cafe
designs. I know of people who are now leading processes that start as Search
Conferences and Appreciative Inquiries and end with Open Spaces for action planning.
Others I know use Action Learning approaches to support ongoing work and follow-
through to "results" or suggest some of the principles of Dialogue when initially Opening
the Space.

These combinations are usually driven by some local, community or organizational,
attachment to some of these other methods, even as they also have a desire to Open some
Space to do and connect more. So we need to be able to weave the existing methods and
languages together with the spirit and practices of Open Space. That said, I generally
find that when I add things to Open Space, I end up working harder on the one hand and
not always getting more energy, action or ownership on the other. Care must always be
taken, when adding things to Open Space, that we are not designing away the space for
participants to take direct and personal responsibility for creating what works best for
them.

It is so easy to make design decisions that impose constraints that really aren't necessary.
Take the simple example of a community conference, when Open Space is considered as
an alternative to a "traditional" conference. The usual design question would be should
lunch be plated or buffet? But, might the meeting be held where participants can go out
and buy their own, eating in small groups, getting some fresh air, choosing exactly what
suits them nutritionally and financially? What about potluck where everyone brings
something to share? Notice in the latter options, the conference organizers don't need to
deal with money or budgets or the risk of guessing how many people will come, how
much they'll eat, or what they'd prefer. Nobody needs to do extra "fundraising" to pay for
it. Meanwhile, participants get a lot more food options to choose from and the
organization benefits from their "ownership" of the process, i.e. nobody complains about
the food!

Coming back to the various methods then, what they have in common -- what really
makes them work -- is Inviting Leadership. They all work on opening the heart of what's
working (and who's working), inviting people to focus on what could be done to grow
more of those things (rather than attacking "problems"), and supporting anyone who is
willing to learn and contribute, anyone who cares and is willing to take some responsibility for doing something, to gather and work together, to make more good. Common mechanisms include open invitations, talking circles, storytelling, diversity, issues that really matter and people who really want to do something about them. The common belief or assumption is that everyone can and should be learning and contributing to making good on whatever promise they have and whatever commitments they make to others.

**What are some challenges you face with Open Space?**

Perhaps the biggest challenge in using Open Space is getting comfortable, or helping others (organizers, sponsors, clients) to get comfortable, with the ease and relative painlessness of the whole process. So often we think that if it doesn't hurt, if we're not visibly stressed and straining, then we're not really working. We feel like we are shirking our responsibility or worry that others will think we are not pulling our weight. We have what I think is an unbalanced view, a misunderstanding of the meaning of work, but more to our point here, a misunderstanding of the work and value of opening and holding "space" for important issues to be considered and work plans to come together.

Another way to say this is that the hardest part about using Open Space is to convince others that it is real work. If I spend several days bouncing email messages back and forth among colleagues, scheduling one meeting, or even more days seeking help to find people who really care and might be persuaded to come to a meeting on a given issue, then spend even more time convincing them all to come, the total time could be days or weeks.

When I show up in an Open Space meeting with several dozen or several hundred people who share a stake in the same major issue or situation, like the future of the community or school system or company, I can post my own sub-issues and initiatives. Immediately, I find people who can help me and our meeting is scheduled, conducted and documented all in the same day.

What's more, when we're finished with our first meeting, we can announce our results to a receptive, informed, active and connected group of colleagues. If it takes weeks or months, but happens in an office, we call it "real work." If it takes only hours, but happens in a comfortable, casual environment, we often fail to recognize it as real work, real productivity.

This is the real challenge: helping people see that grinding things out (often in relative isolation) with limited effect is not the only option. It's possible to invite and get everyone working together for bigger, easier and rippling effects. The challenge is cutting through to what really works.

Open Space is one particular way -- and Inviting Leadership a more generalized view -- of inviting, practicing, and embodying more and more of what works. This, in turn, lets us address the real challenges of increasing satisfaction, deepening engagement, and delivering better, faster results.
How do we turn Open Space meetings into Inviting Leadership?

This is the most important question of all, I think. Perhaps, the trickiest, and at the same time the simplest. It's tricky because there are so many levels, but on every level the way to keep things going is simply keep doing what we've already been doing. We keep it going, by keeping it going. So what's most important is our understanding clearly what we've actually been doing!

On the outside, we keep writing invitations. This is easy because as we keep generating notes from conversations, we keep generating news and new issues to be addressed. So we post our first results to everyone who was there, right away after the event. Then we keep the news coming, not from leaders to everyone, but from everyone to everyone.

In the Open Space meeting, we have a "community bulletin board." In day-to-day work, we might have some sort of online system, an expensive bit of software with everyone's calendar, or it might still be as simple as a bulletin board in a busy hallway or main conference room. The circle can be kept together if everyone gets a list of all participants' contact info. Reunion or follow-up meetings can be scheduled, weekly, monthly, quarterly, annually... whatever time frame fits the work.

Every follow-up meeting, large or small, starts with a declared purpose and invitation. That gets sent to people who want or need to be there. Every meeting happens at a given place and time and generates some notes to be posted and shared. This is how any first Open Space meeting works -- and keeps on working. Leaders do inviting as an active business practice.

How does this become everyday practice?

Well, mostly what these leaders invite is leadership. They invite people to take responsibility, to take the lead, on the issues and opportunities they care about personally. And, the more inviting they do, the more inviting they become. So we get more and more inviting leaders, inviting more and more leadership. Inviting leaders inviting leaders. This always reminds me of that Gandhi quote that people quote all the time now: "We must be the change we wish to see in the world."

After years of practice, dozens of "training and practice" workshops, and a good number of late-night conversations, Chris Corrigan and I have concluded that we are doing four everyday sorts of practices, and being or embodying four basic shifts, inside of the larger practice of Inviting Leadership. We now see these four practices and shifts as essential for putting an Open Space worldview to work, for good:

- Embracing Heart. This practice, what we think of as first, is about cultivating an appreciation of what's working and what makes it work, the positive core, embracing the people and their passion, skills, experiences, resources, and whatever situation(s) we are in with them. It's about noticing what we love and want, acknowledging all of the assets and whatever challenges we might have now, and remembering why we do what we do. The felt sense of this is practice is about vastness, expansion and depth,
and gratitude as we say, "from the bottom of our hearts." The core shift here is away from a culturally supported habit of analyzing gaps and shortfalls, to be more appreciating, taking stock of assets and opportunities, embracing current realities, wrestling with the heart of issues, engaging the hearts of who's here. This practice grows assets and resources by paying attention to them, appreciating. Caring deeply, embracing the whole, of and as, the heart of leadership and organization. The key question is: What do I/we love? What do I/we want? Our answer needs be as vast as it is deep, Embracing Heart.

- Inviting Focus. This practice is about cultivating an appetite for what's new, listening for what wants to be born next, articulating and acknowledging choice -- that people already have it and use it for themselves -- and inviting them to choose to grow more of the good we have come to appreciate. It's about inviting others to notice where we need to go next, and reminding ourselves of whatever resources or boundaries we have in getting there. The felt sense is one of narrowing focus and naming direction on the one hand, and opening to acknowledge whatever real issues and uncertainties need to be explored and addressed. Leadership vision shifts away from identifying demons, protecting against uncertainties, and providing assurances -- more in the direction of seeing openings, articulating questions, and inviting others to join them in the answering. This practice defends against what we don't know by naming and facing it openly and directly, inviting engagement. Articulating clearly, communicating as brain of organization. The key question is: What and who do I/we know? Where can I/we go? Our answer needs to articulate what is known and what is next, bounding and expanding, Inviting Focus.

- Supporting Flow. In this practice we grow and maintain structures that allow and enable movement and connection, rules and tools that help people and information mix and remix, to adapt to and address whatever new things arise, as soon as they arise. Think bulletin boards and meeting places. Weblogs and email lists. This is how we help each other, how we exchange information and resources, how we move in inviting and invited directions. The felt sense for leaders is one of holding and balancing, flexing and stretching, supporting from below rather than directing from above. The shift in leadership posture is away from our habit of problem-solving, fixing things, to be more supportive of meeting and exchange, connecting and movement. Holding dynamically, rocking and rolling as the base or foundation of organization. The key question is: How will we get where we've chosen to go? Our answer needs to maintain stability and momentum, Supporting Flow.

- Making Good. This practice is about taking action and getting results, making good on promise and promises. Promise is the appreciation, invitation(s), and support that we have received by others. Promises are those same things that we have promised to others. This practice is about making good on our pledges to each other. Making deliveries. Making it count. Measuring and documenting as we go. Making it real. Making a difference in ways that others can appreciate, so that these practices become a self-sustaining cycle. Making ripples into waves. Making a living and making peace, for ourselves and others. The felt sense of this practice is grounding, and lightness, traction and ease. Stuff gets done, without upset or waste. In short, making
good, feels good. The action of leadership (which expands to include more and more people) can shift away from generating data for analysts, as it naturally produces more good stories, the value of which everyone can immediately appreciate. Promises made good on need no quarterly reports. Making good, taking steps, and leaving footprints, as the many soles who are ultimately responsible for organization. The key question is: How will we know when we get there? Our answer needs to produce tangible quantity and intangible quality, Making Good.

You can see how these things happen in any Open Space meeting. The facilitator asks everyone to look around the room, notice and appreciate all the people, passion, skills, resources, and so on, sitting in the circle -- and to appreciate their place in that circle. Then they are invited to take responsibility for the issues and opportunities they care about, to post topics for breakout working sessions.

They are offered markers and paper, a big blank wall and some bits of tape, free run of the meeting space, and some pre-set time slots to help them schedule their sessions. Sometimes they're offered computers or other tools for capturing the notes. All of this supports movement and connecting. The result is that leaders at every level of the organization make good on their promise and promises. Sponsors deliver a phenomenal meeting, participants deliver the notes and results. If the most basic supports are maintained, the action can be sustained indefinitely, until the invited resolution is achieved.

It is our experience that when practiced and maintained in this way, Open Space Technology ultimately dissolves into these everyday practices, lots of little steps toward making good, in organizations and communities, personal and family life. It dissolves into who we are and how we do what we do. And the frequently asked question, "Does Open Space work in this or that situation? ...with this or that sort of person?" must become personal as well. "Can I embrace and appreciate the people, the process and the potential here? Is there good here that I'd like to grow more of?" Wherever I can appreciate some good, I can invite others to meet and make more of it.

As Harrison Owen has said for a long time, "The good news -- and the bad news -- is that it works." Good news if you want to get things done, bad news if you want a simple set of rules or "best practices" to follow. Good news because it gets people and processes moving, bad news because that may mean lots of things are going to be different than before. Wanted things can appear, unwanted things disappear, and sometimes vice versa - but that's how life is.

Open Space brings life back to organization and organizations back to life. When we do "it" more and more, the technical trappings of "Open Space" do eventually dissolve, just like Harrison Owen hoped they would, into business and life, as usual. After that, as best we can tell, we have only to keep appreciating, inviting, supporting and making more and more good -- in practice and in the world. Inviting practice, inviting the world we want, in spite of everything. Please join us...